Name: Hua Huang

8 October 2011

Attn: Ms Debra Gillick Executive Officer Chinese Medicine Board of Australia AHPRA GPO Box 9958, MELBOURNE, VIC 3001

Dear Ms Gillick

## RE: SUBMISSION – MANDATORY REGISTRATION STANDARDS FOR CHINESE MEDICINE AND GRANDPARENTING ARRANGEMENTS

I refer to the proposed mandatory registration standards for Chinese Medicine which has been recently released for public comment by the Chinese Medicine Board of Australia (the Board). I understand that the Board is seeking public comment in the following areas:

- 1. Proposed mandatory registration standards:
  - 1) Continuing professional development;
  - 2) Criminal history;
  - 3) English language skills;
  - 4) Professional indemnity insurance; and
  - 5) Recency of practice.
- 2. Proposed registration standards:
  - 1) Grandparenting.

With regard to "Proposed mandatory registration standards", I strongly <u>object to</u> the proposed standards for English language skills for the following reasons:

• The proposed standards are inconsistent with the Victorian Registration Policy for C hinese M edicine. T hese s tandards are cl early higher than the Victorian standards. It is understood that the N ational B oard allows for the Victorian registered practitioners to be automatically transferred into the National r egister. This clearly pr esents un fairness and i njustice due to the inconsistent E nglish requirements be tween t he two B oards. The p roposed English standards should <u>not</u> be higher than the Victorian requirements.

- The Board needs to recognise that the development of Chinese medicine are based on the foundations of Chinese Daoism over 3,000 years ago, which indicates its inherent as sociation with the Chinese culture, history and most importantly, the Chinese language. Some of the words and terms such as "Qi", "Yin" and "Yang" are irreplaceable by English language. Overly emphasising on English requirements will have negative impact on the traditional essence of Chinese Medicine as well as its unique culture.
- Whilst I understand the intent of imposing English skills by the Board to ensure public safety, the B oard should r ecognise the f act that the m ost fundamental methods of diagnosing a patient in Chinese Medicine are: observation, a uscultation and ol faction, and t he t aking of t he pulse and palpation. To a I arge extent, di agnosing a p atient can be accomplished without v erbal i nterrogation. This is exactly why m any C hinese M edicine practitioners who do n ot hav e good E nglish skills are able t o serve t he Australian public competently for so many years. The Board should recognise that the majority C hinese M edicine practitioners in A ustralia hav e I imited English s kills, but they are w orking hard to serve t he community and are welcomed by the community.
- It is because of the above reasons, the requirement on English skills will have major negative impact on <u>most</u> Chinese Medicine practitioners as well as the Chinese Medicine industry. It will a lso neg atively impact on t he community members who require the service (treatments) of Chinese Medicine if some of the practitioners are being refused from registration due to the English requirements.

For the above reasons, I strongly <u>object to</u> the proposed E nglish S tandards, and request the Board to consider lowering the standards to the minimum – even the Victorian Standards are considered too high by many practitioners.

With regard to the Grandparenting registration standards, whilst I support in principle of the "5 years practice within the profession", I <u>object to</u> the wording of "between 2002 and 2012". This discriminates those p ractitioners who have t he "5 years practice" but was not practiced "between 2002 and 2012", particularly those younger practitioners. I request the Board to consider replace the above wording with "from 2002 to the date of registration".

Furthermore with regard to the Grandparenting registration qualification requirements, whilst I support that a formal qualification is essential, I believe that the following component should <u>not</u> be included in the Board assessment:

• Ethics, jurisprudence, practice management and research skills (Page 41 of the Consultation Paper)

In many Chinese Medicine tertiary schools, a B achelor D egree course (particularly those older qualifications) may not include the above study component. However, the principles and skills of ethic, jurisprudence, practice management and research skills have been learnt in their practice. Therefore, I request the above requirement be deleted from the Qualification requirements.

In conclusion, whilst I understand the intent of proposed mandatory r egistration standards and grandparenting standards, I object to:

- the proposed standards for English language skills, and request the Board to consider lowering the English requirements to the minimum;
- the wording of "between 2002 and 2012" in the Grandparenting requirements and believe it is discriminative against younger practitioners, and request it be replace with the wording of "from 2002 to the date of registration".
- the r equirement of having the s tudy component of "Ethics, j urisprudence, practice management and research skills", and request this be deleted.

I thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Consultation Paper, and request you to consider my objections and address the issues raised in this letter. I look forward to receiving your further correspondences regarding these matters.

Yours faithfully

Name:

Hua Huang